## APPEAL NO. 022087 FILED SEPTEMBER 23, 2002

	This app	eal aris	es pursu	ant to	o the Te	exas '	Work	ers' Co	ompe	nsatior	n Act, TI	ΞX.	LAB.
CODI	E ANN. §	401.00	1 et seq.	(198	9 Act).	A co	ontest	ted ca	se he	aring v	was held	d on	July
23, 2	002. The	hearin	g officer	dete	rmined	that	the r	espon	dent	(claima	ant) sus	stain	ed a
comp	ensable	injury	on			,	and	that	she	had	disabili	ity	from
		, thro	ugh Febr	uary	18, 200	)2. T	he ap	pellar	nt (cai	rier) a	ppeals t	he i	njury
and	disability	determ	ninations	on	evident	tiary	suffi	ciency	gro	unds.	The	clai	mant
respo	nds, urgin	ng affirm	nance.										

## **DECISION**

Affirmed.

The claimant had the burden to prove by a preponderance of the evidence that she sustained a compensable injury on , and thereafter had disability. These issues presented the hearing officer with questions of fact to resolve. Conflicting evidence was presented on the disputed issues. The hearing officer was persuaded that the claimant was truthful and credible in the presentation of her claim. The hearing officer is the sole judge of the weight and credibility of the evidence (Section 410.165(a)) and it is for the hearing officer to resolve such conflicts and inconsistencies in the evidence as were present in this case (Garza v. Commercial Insurance Co. of Newark, New Jersey, 508 S.W.2d 701 (Tex. Civ. App.-Amarillo 1974, no writ)). An appeals-level body is not a fact finder and does not normally pass upon the credibility of witnesses or substitute its own judgment for that of the trier of fact, even if the evidence would support a different result. National Union Fire Insurance Company of Pittsburgh. Pennsylvania v. Soto, 819 S.W.2d 619, 620 (Tex. App.-El Paso 1991, writ denied). As an appellate-reviewing body, we will not disturb the challenged factual findings of a hearing officer unless they are so against the great weight and preponderance of the evidence as to be clearly wrong or manifestly unjust and we do not find them so in this case. Cain v. Bain, 709 S.W.2d 175, 176 (Tex. 1986); In re King's Estate, 150 Tex. 662, 244 S.W.2d 660 (1951).

We affirm the decision and order of the hearing officer.

The true corporate name of the insurance carrier is **AMERICAN MANUFACTURER'S MUTUAL INSURANCE COMPANY** and the name and address of its registered agent for service of process is

## CORPORATION SERVICE COMPANY 800 BRAZOS, COMMODORE 1, SUITE 750 AUSTIN, TEXAS 78701.

	Michael B. McShane
	Appeals Judge
CONCUR:	
Judy L. S. Barnes Appeals Judge	
Margaret L. Turner Appeals Judge	